1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	On behalf of The Wireless Telecommunications
4	Bureau of the Federal Communications
5	Commission:
6	TERRENCE E. REIDELER, ESQ.
7	JOSEPH PAUL WEBER, ESQ.
8	The Wireless Telecommunications Bureau
9	Federal Communications Commission
10	1919 M Street, N.W., Room 644
11	Washington, D.C. 20554
12	(202) 418-1317
13	
14	On behalf of Ellis Thompson Corporation:
15	STEVE D. LARSON, ESQ.
16	Stoll, Stoll, Berne, Lokting &
17	Shlachter, P.C.
18	209 Southwest Oak Street
19	Portland, Oregon 97204
20	(503) 227-1600
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES: (Continued)
2	
3	On behalf of American Cellular Network
4	Corp.:
5	LOUIS GURMAN, ESQ.
6	Gurman, Kurtis, Blask & Freedman
7	Suite 500
8	1400 16th Street, N.W.
9	Washington, D.C. 20036
10	(202) 328-8200
11	and
12	ALLAN S. HOFFMAN, ESQ.
 13	Suite 500
14	1400 16th Street, N.W.
15	Washington, D.C. 20036
16	(202) 265-3165
17	
 18	On behalf of Comcast Cellular
19	Communications, Inc.:
20	JEFFREY E. SMITH, ESQ.
21	Comcast Cellular Communications, Inc.
22	Vice President and General Counsel
23	480 E. Swedesford Road
24	Wayne, Pennsylvania 19087-1867
25	(610) 995-3933

1	APPEARANCES (Continued):
2	
3	On behalf of TDS:
4	ALAN N. SALPETER, ESQ.
5	Mayer, Brown & Platt
6	190 South La Salle Street
7	Chicago, Illinois 60603-3441
8	(312) 782-0600
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	$C \circ N$	T E N T S
2	THE WITNESS	EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR
3	DAVID A. LOKTING	FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
4		COMMISSION
5	By Mr. Reideler	7, 60, 67, 73, 78, 85, 97
6	By Mr. Weber	50, 66, 71, 76, 84, 86
7		
8		EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR
9		ELLIS THOMPSON CORPORATION
10	By Mr. Larson	8 6
11		
12		EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR
13		TDS
14	By Mr. Salpeter	98
15		
16	ЕХН	I B I T S
17	LOKTING EXHIBIT NO.	PAGE NO.
- 18	v 1	10
19	2	13
20	3	19
21	4	20
22	5	21
23	6	23
24	7	24
25	8	26
•		

2	9		27
3	10	•	28
4	11		3 7
5	12		43
6	13		45
7	14		4 6
8	15		47
9	16		48
10	17		80
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

25

E X H I B I T S (Continued)

	1	PROCEEDINGS
	2	
	3	Whereupon,
	4	DAVID A. LOKTING,
	5	business address at 209 Southwest Oak Street,
	6	Portland, Oregon, 97204, was called as a witness
	7	by counsel for Federal Communications Commission,
	8	and having been duly sworn by the Notary Public,
	9	was examined and testified as follows:
	10	EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR
	11	FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
	12	BY MR. REIDELER:
	13	Q. May I have your name for the record,
	14	please?
	15	A. David Lokting.
	16	Q. Mr. Lokting, Joe Weber and I represent
	17	the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau in this
، سنجه،	18	matter and it's the Bureau's task to demonstrate
	19	to what degree Mr. Thompson has actual control
	20	over the Atlantic City facility. So that's where
	21	we're heading in this deposition, just to let you
	22	know.
	23	A. Okay.
	24	Q. Could you state what your occupation
	25	is?

- 1 A. I'm an attorney.
- Q. And where is your law firm located?
- A. Portland, Oregon.
- Q. Are you familiar with Ellis Thompson
- 5 and Ellis Thompson Corporation?
- A. Yes, I am.
- Q. And in what degree? How are you
- 8 familiar with them?
- 9 A. My firm represents both Mr. Thompson
- 10 and Ellis Thompson Corporation and I have had a
- 11 significant degree of responsibility for that
- 12 representation.
- Q. When were you initially retained by
- 14 Mr. Thompson?
- 15 A. Late 1987.
- Q. Were you retained by Ellis Thompson
- 17 Corporation at that time as well?
- 18 A. Not at that time. Ellis Thompson
- Corporation was formed in, I believe, 1988.
- Q. Were you instrumental in incorporating
- 21 the Ellis Thompson Corporation?
- 22 A. Yes, I was.
- Q. How did your relationship with
- 24 Mr. Thompson develop? Was he referred to you by
- 25 someone else?

- 1 A. He was referred to our law firm by
- 2 another lawyer.
- Q. Did this lawyer have anything to do
- 4 with TDS?
- 5 A. Not with TDS. With Amcell.
- 6 Q. Now, do you represent Mr. Thompson or
- 7 the -- let's just call it "the corporation"
- 8 instead of Ellis Thompson Corporation -- the
- 9 corporation before the FCC in any matters?
- 10 A. I believe our firm appears as counsel
- of record with respect to FCC matters, although
- 12 principal representation is by the firm
- 13 Fleischman & Walsh who are FCC counsel to
- 14 Mr. Thompson and the corporation.
- 15 Q. I guess what I'm trying to get to is,
- 16 are you conversant with some of the FCC
- 17 requirements of its licensees?
- 18 A. Yes, I am.
 - 19 Q. Now, do you take these requirements
 - into consideration when you're advising
 - 21 Mr. Thompson?
 - 22 A. Yes, I do.
 - Q. What is your affiliation with Ellis
 - Thompson Corporation besides being its lawyer?
- 25 Are you a principal in the corporation at all?

- 1 A. I'm not a principal. I am the
- 2 assistant secretary of the corporation, which is
- a corporate office. And as assistant secretary,
- 4 I primarily perform administerial functions, such
- 5 as signing forms or signing documents that any
- 6 officer would be authorized to sign. But even in
- 7 that context, I usually do not sign documents or
- 8 forms or make filings without calling
- 9 Mr. Thompson first and advising him of what I'm
- 10 doing.
- 11 Q. In other words, you're not a
- 12 shareholder?
- 13 A. No, I'm not.
- Q. And from what I gather, from what
- you've told me, any decisions that are made by
- 16 you on behalf of Ellis Thompson Corporation
- obviously require his approval, is that correct?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. I would like to go into some of the
- policy aspects that Mr. Thompson plays in the
- 21 corporation.
- MR. REIDELER: I would like this
- document marked Lokting Exhibit Number 1,
- 24 please.
- 25 (Lokting Exhibit No. 1 was

1	marked for identification.)
2	BY MR. REIDELER:
3	Q. Are you familiar with this document?
4	A. Yes, I am.
5	Q. And would you identify it, please?
6	A. This document is an agreement dated
7	December 30th, 1987 which we commonly refer to as
8	the switching agreement or the construction and
9	switch sharing agreement. And it has attached to
10	it three amendments which amended certain terms
11	of the agreement over subsequent years.
12	Q. Would you call this a management
13	agreement or management contract?
14	A. We typically refer to amendment number
15	1 as the management agreement.
16	Q. And this is between who?
17	A. This is between Amcell of Atlantic
18	City, Inc., Mr. Thompson and Ellis Thompson
19	Corporation.
2 0	Q. Is there a relationship between Amcell
21	and Comcast that you're aware of?
22	A. After the initial agreement was entered
23	into on December 30th, 1987, subsequently, I
2 4	believe in March or April of 1988, Comcast
2 5	corporation acquired Amcell via merger. And it's

- my understanding that Amcell became a subsidiary
- of Comcast. There are several tiers. Amcell of
- Atlantic City is a subsidiary of American
- 4 Cellular Network Corporation which, I believe, is
- 5 a subsidiary of Comcast.
- 6 Q. So Amcell is essentially the managing
- 7 entity of the Atlantic City system, is that
- 8 correct?
- 9 A. Under amendment number 1, it is.
- 10 Q. Do you know if Amcell manages other
- 11 systems other than the Atlantic City system?
- 12 A. Yes, it does.
- Q. Do you know if there are any
- 14 differences between this contract and the
- 15 contracts it has with other systems or how it
- 16 manages other systems?
- 17 A. I don't know directly. I have not seen
- 18 the other contracts. They have represented to us
- 19 that this is -- the terms of the their management
- to us is identical to the way they manage other
- 21 systems and I'm familiar there are various cost
- 22 allocations that are allocated in an equivalent
- 23 matter.
- Q. Did you assist Mr. Thompson in
- 25 negotiating this contract?

1	A. Yes, I did.
2	MR. REIDELER: I would like to identify
3	this item as Lokting Number 2, please.
4	(Lokting Exhibit No. 2 was
5	marked for identification.)
6	BY MR. REIDELER:
7	Q. Are you familiar with this document?
8	A. Yes, I am. This document is a prior
9	draft of the original construction and switch
10	sharing agreement and it contains notations on it
11	which are modifications to the document that I
12	negotiated and requested of Amcell.
13	Q. Is that your handwriting?
14	A. Yes, it is.
15	Q. And were there other drafts of the
16	agreement besides the one you have before you?
17	A. Yes, there were.
18	Q. And did you edit those as well?
19	A. No. I believe Stuart Feldstein did, of
2 0	Fleischman & Walsh.
21	Q. And this was on behalf of Mr. Thompson?
22	A. Mr. Thompson, right.

And did you review Mr. Feldstein's

Well, actually Mr. Feldstein, his edits

23

24

25

Q.

Α.

edits?

- 1 preceded our representation of Mr. Thompson so I
- didn't really review those. I think they were
- incorporated into Exhibit Number 2 as it came to
- 4 me and then I took it from there and made further
- 5 edits and further negotiated it on behalf of
- 6 Mr. Thompson.
- 7 Q. Was Mr. Thompson consulted before these
- 8 edits were finalized?
- A. Absolutely.
- 10 Q. Can you recall what concerns
- 11 Mr. Thompson might have had with the original
- version of the contract that was presented to
- 13 him?
- 14 A. Yes, I can. Actually, on Exhibit
- Number 2, there are various areas here where it's
- 16 noted insert A, insert B.
- 17 Q. Yes.
- A. And I don't see the inserts attached
- 19 but they contain more significant revisions to
- 20 the document that I prepared. And just going off
- of memory, I know that before this agreement was
- 22 signed, we consulted with TDS to obtain whatever
- input they had on the agreement and I reviewed
- the agreement myself and with Mr. Feldstein.
- One concern was that the gist of the

- agreement was that Amcell was going to construct
 the system on behalf of Ellis Thompson as
- Mr. Thompson's agent and Amcell represented that
- 4 it could do so at a guaranteed maximum cost. In
- 5 addition, one of the central purposes of entering
- 6 into this agreement was to obtain switching
- 7 services from Amcell using a Motorola switch.
- 8 And one of the concerns that TDS had was that
- 9 switch technology was ever changing and they were
- 10 concerned that if we entered into an agreement
- 11 today, perhaps we might not have the best
- 12 available technology at the actual time the
- system was constructed, because Mr. Thompson's
- application with the FCC was still in process and
- the construction permit had not yet been
- 16 awarded.
- So one of the concerns was to require
- 18 that Amcell's design of the system incorporate
 - 19 the most current, best available technology.
 - Q. This was Mr. Thompson's concern?
 - 21 A. Yes. For the system. This was his
 - 22 concern. And I believe that's the language of
 - one of his inserts that reflects that.
 - 24 Another concern was that Amcell had
 - agreed to construct the system for a guaranteed

- 1 maximum cost and that cost was bid at less than
- what we knew to be available otherwise. So he
- 3 was concerned that the agreement reflect --
- 4 Q. He being Mr. Thompson?
- 5 A. Mr. Thompson was concerned that the
- 6 agreement should reflect that Amcell would be
- obligated to construct the system at a guaranteed
- 8 maximum cost. So that was another concern.
- And then the third concern was the rate
- 10 that the Atlantic City system would pay for
- 11 switching services. We had negotiated a rate of
- 12 5 cents per minute. And in the course of
- negotiating that rate, we were working with
- 14 projected income for the system --
- Q. When you say we, that's you and
 - 16 Mr. Thompson?
 - 17 A. Yes, Mr. Thompson and I. And in
 - connection with discussions with Amcell too, we
 - were looking at projected revenues and expenses
 - and usage of the system in arriving at that
- 21 number. And as a part of that, there were
- 22 assumptions made about the permanent charge to
- 23 system users for use of the cellular service.
- 24 And there was a 30 cents per minute threshold
- 25 that -- at least I have a vague recollection it

· •

- was a 30 cents per minute threshold. I was
- 2 concerned that through competitive marketplace
- 3 conditions, if the permanent charge dropped below
- 4 30 cents per minute, then the 5 cents per minute
- 5 switching charge would be too great of an
- 6 expense.
- 7 Q. Did Mr. Thompson share this?
- 8 A. Yes, Mr. Thompson shared this. I
- 9 shared all of my concerns with him and he agreed
- 10 with them. So we built into this agreement an
- 11 adjustor, pro rata adjustment in the event the
- per minute charge on the system dropped below 30
- 13 cents per minute.
- 14 Q. I would like to return once again to
- 15 Exhibit Number 1.
- 16 A. Okay.
- 17 O. You touched on this before. This
- 18 concerns the amendments that are attached at the
- 19 back of the agreement, beginning on page 144287.
- I believe there are three separate amendments.
- 21 A. Right.
- Q. Could you please identify what these
- 23 are?
- A. Amendment number 1, which begins on
- 25 144287, is a fairly -- what it actually adds is a

- new section to the agreement. And the section
- 2 relates to provision of management services by
- 3 Amcell for system operations.
- Amendment number 2, number 144295,
- 5 dated June 16, 1989, this is an amendment that
- 6 was entered into fairly soon after amendment
- 7 number 1 and before the system actually became
- 8 operational. And it's really an amendment to the
- 9 calculation of Amcell's management fee and was
- 10 entered into to reduce the management fee.
- 11 Q. Now moving on to amendment 3.
- 12 A. And amendment number 3 is dated
- November 23, 1990. This was probably a year and
- 14 a half after the system became operational. And
- at this point we really moved the office
- 16 management functions out of Atlantic City and
- 17 entered into an arrangement that the Atlantic
- 18 City system would be managed out of Amcell's
- 19 Wilmington office on an allocated cost basis.
- Q. With regard to the three amendments,
- who initiated these amendments? Were they
- initiated by you on behalf of Mr. Thompson or
- were they initiated by Amcell or do you recall or
- 24 was each one different?
- A. Well, I would say they were initiated

- 1 by Amcell. They were the product of ongoing
- 2 discussions between us.
- 3 Q. They were negotiated by you and
- 4 Mr. Thompson and with Amcell, is that correct?
- 5 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 6 MR. REIDELER: I would like to have
- 7 this labeled Lokting Number 3, please.
- 8 (Lokting Exhibit No. 3 was
- 9 marked for identification.)
- 10 BY MR. REIDELER:
- 11 Q. This is a letter dated November 2nd,
- 12 1994 and it's to Jeff Smith from you.
- 13 A. That's correct.
- Q. As I gather from looking at the letter,
- this concerns issues that Mr. Thompson wanted to
- raise at a quarterly meeting, is that correct?
- 17 A. That is correct.
- 18 Q. I would like to direct your attention
- 19 to the portion of the letter that concerns
- 20 management fees. We'll probably be referring
- 21 back to this letter several times. Right now I
- 22 would just like you to look at the management fee
- 23 aspect of the letter.

-

- Would you say that pretty well sums up
- Mr. Thompson's feelings about Comcast management

1	fees?
2	A. Yes, I would.
3	Q. And would it be safe to say that
4	Mr. Thompson wasn't always happy with the rates
5	that he was given by Amcell?
6	A. I think that's correct.
7	Q. I think you mentioned earlier that
8	managing the system, the Atlantic City system,
9	out of Wilmington was Amcell's idea, is that
10	correct?
11	A. Yes, it was.
12.	Q. And did Mr. Thompson have any
13	misgivings about this arrangement?
14	A. No.
15	Q. Did you have any misgivings about it?
16	A. No.
17	Q. And I presume it was done only after he
18	gave his approval?
19	A. Yes.
20	MR. REIDELER: I would like to have
21	this item labeled Lokting Exhibit Number 4,
22	please.
23	(Lokting Exhibit No. 4 was
24	marked for identification.)

BY MR. REIDELER:

1	Q. This is a memorandum dated October
2	21st, 1988 from Michael Schwartz to Laura
3	Kramedas. I believe that's the way she
4	pronounces her name.
5	A. Kramedas.
6	Q. Kramedas. And it notes that you'll
7	prepare a letter authorizing Amcell to sign
8	purchase orders on behalf of the corporation, is
9	that correct?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Did you prepare such a letter, if you
12	recall?
13	A. I don't specifically recall.
14	Q. If you were to prepare such a letter,
15	would it require Mr. Thompson's approval?
16	A. Yes.
17	MR. REIDELER: I would like to have
18	this item labeled Lokting Number 5, please.
19	(Lokting Exhibit No. 5 was
2 0	marked for identification.)
21	BY MR. REIDELER:
22	Q. This is a one-page letter dated May
2 3	19th, 1989 to Mr. Lokting from Mark Panetta.
2 4	Mr. Panetta is asking for authority to sign

checks on behalf of the corporation.

- 1 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 2 O. Who is Mr. Panetta?
- A. Mark Panetta was the controller who was
- assigned to the Atlantic City system during the
- first few years of our management arrangement
- 6 with Comcast. In particular he was involved
- 7 during the construction phase and during the
- 8 first year or two of the start-up operations.
- 9 Q. Did he hold that position at the time
- 10 this letter was drafted?
- 11 A. Yes, he did.
- Q. Do you recall if his request was
- 13 granted?
- 14 A. His request eventually developed into
- an overall check signing policy that we
- 16 developed. I believe that his request was
- granted at this time as to rent payments for the
- cell site leases but that was probably the only
- 19 thing that -- well, and utilities, maybe.
- Because he references those two things.
- Q. And who would have granted this
- request, it would have been Mr. Thompson?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 MR. REIDELER: That leads us into the
- 25 next exhibit. I'll have this labeled Lokting

- 1 Exhibit Number 6, please.
- 2 (Lokting Exhibit No. 6 was
- marked for identification.)
- 4 BY MR. REIDELER:
- 5 Q. This is a three-page document entitled
- 6 Policy Statement. Is this the item that you said
- 7 followed Mr. Panetta's letter?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. And could you explain to us more fully
- 10 what, in fact, it is?
- 11 A. Well, what it is is it's a way of
- really processing checks and handling the payment
- of bills and the incurring of capital
- expenditures in the ordinary course of business.
- And it's geared to the approved budget with
- respect to capital expenses and there are layers
- of approvals that are necessary to incur capital
- 18 expenses outside of budget. And there are also
- 19 specific check signing responsibilities for the
- issuance of checks from Ellis Thompson
- 21 Corporation's account in the ordinary course of
- 22 business.
- Q. Were you instrumental in drafting this
- 24 document?
- 25 A. Yes, I was.

1	Q.	And	was	Mr.	Thompson	consulted	before
---	----	-----	-----	-----	----------	-----------	--------

- 2 it was drafted?
- 3 A. Yes, he was.
- Q. And did he give his final approval to
- 5 it?
- A. Yes, he did.
- 7 Q. Did he play any role in the drafting of
- 8 the document?
- 9 A. In the physical language?
- 10 Q. Yes. Or let me take that back. Into
- 11 the overall concepts of what would be done, the
- 12 policy itself.
- 13 A. Yes, he did. In particular, the
- specification of the various layers and the
- approvals necessary for each level of payment
- authorization or expenses that were incurred.
- Q. These were initiated by Mr. Thompson,
- 18 these concerns?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 MR. REIDELER: I would like to have
- this item labeled Lokting Number 7, please.
- 22 (Lokting Exhibit No. 7 was
- marked for identification.)
- BY MR. REIDELER:
- Q. This is titled Intercarrier Roamer

1 Service Agreement. Do you recognize the

- 2 document?
- 3 A. In general.
- Q. When you say in general, I presume this
- 5 is a standard form?
- 6 A. Yes, it is.
- 7 Q. And are there any of these forms that
- 8 you see and Mr. Thompson sees?
- 9 A. Scores of them.
- Q. Are they all signed by Mr. Thompson?
- 11 A. Yes, they are.
- Q. Do you know if it's the same form used
- 13 by Comcast Cellular Systems?
- 14 A. Yes, I believe it is.
- Q. Now, since Comcast and Ellis Thompson
- 16 Corporation use the same service contract, do
- they have the same service rates, if you know?
- 18 A. I do not believe that they have
- 19 identical rates, no.
- Q. You think they are different?
- 21 A. I do believe they are.
- Q. And could you tell me why this is?
- A. The Atlantic City rates are set and
- 24 adjusted from time to time to address the
- 25 specific competitive market conditions of

- 1 Atlantic City.
- 2 O. And who decides on that?
- A. Mr. Thompson.
- MR. REIDELER: I would like this
- 5 labeled Lokting 8, please.
- 6 (Lokting Exhibit No. 8 was
- marked for identification.)
- 8 BY MR. REIDELER:
- 9 O. This is a one-page letter dated May
- 10 10th, 1991, to Anna Hillman from you. Do you
- 11 recognize the letter?
- 12 A. Yes, I do.
- 0. And it states that Mr. Thompson has
- 14 approved the roamer rate change, is that correct?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Is Mr. Thompson's approval required of
- 17 all roamer rate changes?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 O. So is it a fair statement to say that
- 20 Mr. Thompson's involvement is ongoing as far as
- 21 roamer rates go?
- 22 A. Yes, it is.
- Q. From the beginning, you set the rate,
- 24 what the initial rate would be and he has okayed
- or given his approval of every change that has